

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

BECKLEY DIVISION

DAMON M. RIPLEY,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11-cv-01012

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
and WARDEN ZIEGLER,

Respondents.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On December 27, 2011, the Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Document 1).

By *Standing Order* (Document 3) entered on December 27, 2011, this action was referred to the Honorable R. Clarke VanDervort, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On October 8, 2014, the Magistrate Judge submitted a *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* (Document 5) wherein it is recommended that this Court dismiss the Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 and remove this matter from the Court's docket. Objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* were due by October 27, 2014.¹


¹ The docket reflects that the *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* mailed to the Petitioner was returned as undeliverable on October 14, 2014.

Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation*. The Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the Petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** and incorporates herein the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the *Proposed Findings and Recommendation*, and **ORDERS** that the Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Document 1) be **DISMISSED** and that this matter be **REMOVED** from the Court's docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge VanDervort, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER: October 29, 2014


IRENE C. BERGER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA